

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,836	05/10/2001	Mimi Chu Dong	10010237-1	5450	
75	90 09/20/2005	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LETT, THOMAS J		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/852,836	DONG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thomas J. Lett	2626	

	momas s. Lett	2020					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress -				
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavition (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ii	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on the tened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	٠				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of infally reje	otou olaiiiis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:			,				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. NOTE: Applicant points out that Examiner stated in the Final Office action that Yan et al does not teach a single embodiment in which a virtual machine instruction processor is not used. On the contrary, the prior art of Yan et al clearly discloses that the invention could be simulated using a processor executing a proprietary instruction set such as the x86 architectures by Intel, Inc. of Sunnyvale, or the PowerPC architecture by Motorola of Schaumburg Illinois INSTEAD of using a virtual machine processor, col. 24, lines 55-61.

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS